

Experimental Determination of Apéry-Like Identities for $\zeta(2n + 2)$

David H. Bailey*, Jonathan M. Borwein† and David M. Bradley‡

March 21, 2006

Abstract

We document the discovery of two generating functions for $\zeta(2n + 2)$, analogous to earlier work for $\zeta(2n + 1)$ and $\zeta(4n + 3)$, initiated by Koecher and pursued further by Borwein, Bradley and others.

1 Introduction

Stimulated by recent work in the arena of Apéry-like sums [7, 8, 9] we decided to methodically look for series acceleration formulas for the Riemann zeta function involving central binomial coefficients in the denominators. Using the PSLQ integer relation algorithm, as described below, we uncovered several new results. In particular, we document the discovery of two generating functions for $\zeta(2n + 2)$, analogous to earlier work for $\zeta(2n + 1)$ and $\zeta(4n + 3)$, initiated by Koecher and pursued further by Borwein, Bradley and others. As a conclusion to a very satisfactory experiment, we have been able to use the Wilf-Zeilberger technique to prove our results.

An integer relation detection algorithm accepts an n -long vector \vec{x} of real numbers and a bound A as input, and either outputs an n -long vector \vec{a} of integers such that the dot product $a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n = 0$ to within the available numerical precision, or else establishes that no such vector of integers of length less than A exists. Here the length is the Euclidean norm $(a_1^2 + a_2^2 + \dots + a_n^2)^{1/2}$, derived from the usual Euclidean metric on \mathbf{R}^n . Helaman Ferguson's PSLQ [13, 2] is currently the most widely used integer relation detection algorithm [3, pp. 230–235], although variants of the so-called LLL algorithm [16]

*Lawrence Berkeley Lab, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA. Email: dhbailey@lbl.gov. Bailey's work is supported by the Director, Office of Computational and Technology Research, Division of Mathematical, Information, and Computational Sciences of the U.S. Department of Energy, under contract number DE-AC02-05CH11231.

†Faculty of Computer Science, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, B3H 2W5 Canada. Email: jborwein@cs.dal.ca. Borwein's work is funded by NSERC and the Canada Research Chair Program.

‡Department of Mathematics & Statistics, University of Maine, 5752 Neville Hall, Orono Maine, 04469-5752 U.S.A. Email: bradley@math.umaine.edu

are also commonly employed. Such algorithms underlie the “Recognize” and “identify” commands in the respective computer algebra packages MATHEMATICA and MAPLE. They also play a fundamental role in the investigations we discuss here.

This origins of this work lay in the existence of infinite series formulas involving central binomial coefficients in the denominators for the constants $\zeta(2)$, $\zeta(3)$, and $\zeta(4)$. These formulas, as well the role of the formula for $\zeta(3)$ in Apéry’s proof of its irrationality, have prompted considerable effort during the past few decades to extend these results to larger integer arguments. The formulas in question are

$$\zeta(2) = 3 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2 \binom{2k}{k}}, \quad (1)$$

$$\zeta(3) = \frac{5}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k^3 \binom{2k}{k}}, \quad (2)$$

$$\zeta(4) = \frac{36}{17} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^4 \binom{2k}{k}}. \quad (3)$$

Identity (1) has been known since the 19th century—it relates to $\arcsin^2(x)$ —while (2) was variously discovered in the last century and (3) was noted by Comtet [12, p. 89], see [9, 19]. Indeed, in [9] a coherent proof of all three was provided in the course of a more general study of such central binomial series and so-called *multi-Clausen sums*.

These results led many to conjecture that the constant \mathcal{Q}_5 defined by the ratio

$$\mathcal{Q}_5 := \zeta(5) / \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k^5 \binom{2k}{k}}$$

is rational, or at least algebraic. However, integer relation computations using PSLQ and 10,000-digit precision have established that if \mathcal{Q}_5 is a zero of a polynomial of degree at most 25 with integer coefficients, then the Euclidean norm of the vector of coefficients exceeds 1.24×10^{383} . Similar computations for $\zeta(5)$ have yielded a bound of 1.98×10^{380} .

These computations lend credence to the belief that \mathcal{Q}_5 and $\zeta(5)$ are transcendental. If algebraic, they almost certainly satisfy no simple polynomial of low degree. In particular, if there exist relatively prime integers p and q such that

$$\zeta(5) = \frac{p}{q} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k^5 \binom{2k}{k}},$$

then p and q must be astronomically large. Moreover, a study of polylogarithmic ladders in the golden ratio produced [1, 9]

$$2\zeta(5) - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k^5 \binom{2k}{k}} = \frac{5}{2} \text{Li}_5(\rho) - \frac{5}{2} \text{Li}_4(\rho) \log \rho + \zeta(3) \log^2 \rho - \frac{1}{3} \zeta(2) \log^3 \rho - \frac{1}{24} \log^5 \rho, \quad (4)$$

where $\rho = (3 - \sqrt{5})/2$ and $\text{Li}_n(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z^k/k^n$ is the *polylogarithm* of order n . Since the terms on the right hand side of (4) are almost certainly algebraically independent [11], we see how unlikely it is that \mathcal{Q}_5 is rational. Although the irrationality of $\zeta(5)$ has not yet been confirmed, it is known that one of $\zeta(5), \zeta(7), \zeta(9), \zeta(11)$ is irrational [20].

Given the negative result from PSLQ computations for \mathcal{Q}_5 , the authors of [7] systematically investigated the possibility of a multi-term identity of this general form for $\zeta(2n+1)$. The following were recovered early [7, 8] in experimental searches using computer-based integer relation tools:

$$\zeta(5) = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k^5 \binom{2k}{k}} - \frac{5}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k^3 \binom{2k}{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j^2}, \quad (5)$$

$$\zeta(7) = \frac{5}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k^7 \binom{2k}{k}} + \frac{25}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k^3 \binom{2k}{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j^4} \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(9) = & \frac{9}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k^9 \binom{2k}{k}} - \frac{5}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k^7 \binom{2k}{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j^2} + 5 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k^5 \binom{2k}{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j^4} \\ & + \frac{45}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k^3 \binom{2k}{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j^6} - \frac{25}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k^3 \binom{2k}{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j^4} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(11) = & \frac{5}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k^{11} \binom{2k}{k}} + \frac{25}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k^7 \binom{2k}{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j^4} \\ & - \frac{75}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k^3 \binom{2k}{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j^8} + \frac{125}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k^3 \binom{2k}{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j^4} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{i^4}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The general formula

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(k^2 - x^2)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k^3 \binom{2k}{k}} \frac{5k^2 - x^2}{k^2 - x^2} \prod_{m=1}^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{m^2}\right) \quad (9)$$

was obtained by Koecher [15] following techniques of Knopp and Schur. It gives (2) as its first term and (5) as its second term but more complicated expressions for $\zeta(7)$, $\zeta(9)$ and $\zeta(11)$ than (6), (7) and (8). The corresponding result that gives (2), (6) and (8) for its first three terms was worked out by Borwein and Bradley [7].

Using bootstrapping and an application of the ‘‘Pade’’ function (which in both MATHEMATICA and MAPLE produces Padé approximations to a rational function satisfied by a truncated power series) produced the following remarkable and unanticipated result [7]:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^3(1 - x^4/k^4)} = \frac{5}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k^3 \binom{2k}{k} (1 - x^4/k^4)} \prod_{m=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{1 + 4x^4/m^4}{1 - x^4/m^4} \right). \quad (10)$$

The equivalent hypergeometric formulation of (10) is

$$\begin{aligned} {}_5F_4 & \left(\begin{matrix} 2, 1+x, 1-x, 1+ix, 1-ix \\ 2+x, 2-x, 2+ix, 2-ix \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right) \\ & = \left(\frac{5}{4} \right) {}_6F_5 \left(\begin{matrix} 2, 2, 1+x+ix, 1+x-ix, 1-x+ix, 1-x-ix \\ 3/2, 2+x, 2-x, 2+ix, 2-ix \end{matrix} \middle| -\frac{1}{4} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The identity (10) generates (2), (6) and (8) above, and more generally gives a formula for $\zeta(4n+3)$, which for $n > 1$ contains fewer summations than the corresponding formula generated by (9). The task of proving (10) was reduced in [7] to that of establishing any one of a number of equivalent finite combinatorial identities. One of these latter identities is

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{2n^2}{k^2} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (4k^4 + i^4) \bigg/ \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^n (k^4 - i^4) = \binom{2n}{n}. \quad (11)$$

This was proved in [1], so (10) is an established theorem. It is now known to be the $x = 0$ case of the even more general formula

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k}{k^4 - x^2 k^2 - y^4} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k \binom{2k}{k}} \frac{5k^2 - x^2}{k^4 - x^2 k^2 - y^4} \prod_{m=1}^{k-1} \frac{(m^2 - x^2)^2 + 4y^4}{m^4 - x^2 m^2 - y^4}, \quad (12)$$

in which setting $y = 0$ recovers (9). The bivariate generating function identity (12) was conjectured by Henri Cohen and proved by Bradley [10]. It was subsequently and independently proved by Rivoal [18].

Following an analogous—but more deliberate—experimental-based procedure, as detailed below, we provide a similar general formula for $\zeta(2n+2)$ that is pleasingly parallel to (10). It is:

Theorem 1 *Let x be a complex number not equal to a non-zero integer. Then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2 - x^2} = 3 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2 \binom{2k}{k} (1 - x^2/k^2)} \prod_{m=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{1 - 4x^2/m^2}{1 - x^2/m^2} \right). \quad (13)$$

Note that the left hand side of (13) is trivially equal to

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \zeta(2n+2) x^{2n} = \frac{1 - \pi x \cot(\pi x)}{2x^2}. \quad (14)$$

Thus, (13) generates an Apéry-like formulae for $\zeta(2n)$ for every positive integer n .

In Section 2 we shall outline the discovery path, and then in Section 3 we prove (13)—or rather the equivalent finite form

$${}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} 3n, n+1, -n \\ 2n+1, n+1/2 \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{1}{4} \right) = \frac{\binom{2n}{n}}{\binom{3n}{n}}, \quad 0 \leq n \in \mathbf{Z}. \quad (15)$$

In Section 4 we provide another generating function for which the leading term or “seed” is Comtet’s formula (3) for $\zeta(4)$, while the prior generating functions have seeds (1) and (2).

The paper concludes with some remarks concerning our lack of success in obtaining formulas analogous to (10) and (13) which would generate the simplest known Apéry-like formulae for $\zeta(4n + 2)$ and $\zeta(4n + 1)$, respectively. In this light we record

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\binom{2k}{k}} = \frac{2\pi\sqrt{3} + 9}{27}, \quad (16)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k \binom{2k}{k}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{5} + 1}{2} \right), \quad (17)$$

which are perhaps more appropriate seeds in these cases, see [5, pp. 384–86].

2 Discovering Theorem 1

As indicated, we have applied a more disciplined experimental approach to produce an analogous generating function for $\zeta(2n + 2)$. We describe this process of discovery in some detail here, as the general technique appears to be quite fruitful and may well yield results in other settings.

We first conjectured that $\zeta(2n + 2)$ is a rational combination of terms of the form

$$\sigma(2r; [2a_1, \dots, 2a_N]) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{2r} \binom{2k}{k}} \prod_{i=1}^N \sum_{n_i=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{n_i^{2a_i}}, \quad (18)$$

where $r + \sum_{i=1}^N a_i = n + 1$, and the a_i are listed in nonincreasing order (note that the right-hand-side value is independent of the order of the a_i). This dramatically reduces the size of the search space, while in addition the sums (18) are relatively easy to compute.

One can then write

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \zeta(2n + 2) x^{2n} \stackrel{?}{=} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\pi \in \Pi(n+1-r)} \alpha(\pi) \sigma(2r; 2\pi) x^{2n}, \quad (19)$$

where $\Pi(m)$ denotes the set of all additive partitions of m if $m > 0$, $\Pi(0)$ is the singleton set whose sole element is the null partition $[\]$, and the coefficients $\alpha(\pi)$ are complex numbers. In principle $\alpha(\pi)$ in (19) could depend not only on the partition π but also on n . However, since the first few coefficients appeared to be independent of n , we found it convenient to assume that the generating function could be expressed in the form given above.

For positive integer k and partition $\pi = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N)$ of the positive integer m , let

$$\hat{\sigma}_k(\pi) := \prod_{i=1}^N \sum_{n_i=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{n_i^{2a_i}}.$$

Then

$$\sigma(2r; 2\pi) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\widehat{\sigma}_k(\pi)}{k^{2r} \binom{2k}{k}},$$

and from (19), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \zeta(2n+2) x^{2n} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\pi \in \Pi(n+1-r)} \alpha(\pi) \sigma(2r; 2\pi) x^{2n} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\binom{2k}{k}} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2r-2}}{k^{2r}} \sum_{n=r-1}^{\infty} \sum_{\pi \in \Pi(n+1-r)} \alpha(\pi) \widehat{\sigma}_k(\pi) x^{2(n+1-r)} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\binom{2k}{k} (k^2 - x^2)} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} x^{2m} \sum_{\pi \in \Pi(m)} \alpha(\pi) \widehat{\sigma}_k(\pi) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\binom{2k}{k} (k^2 - x^2)} P_k(x) \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where

$$P_k(x) := \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} x^{2m} \sum_{\pi \in \Pi(m)} \alpha(\pi) \widehat{\sigma}_k(\pi), \tag{21}$$

whose closed form is yet to be determined. Our strategy, as in the case of (10) [8], was to compute $P_k(x)$ explicitly for a few small values of k in a hope that these would suggest a closed form for general k .

Some examples we produced are shown below. At each step we “bootstrapped” by assuming that the first few coefficients of the current result are the coefficients of the previous result. Then we found the remaining coefficients (which are in each case unique) by means of integer relation computations.

In particular, we computed high-precision (200-digit) numerical values of the assumed terms and the left-hand-side zeta value, and then applied PSLQ to find the rational coefficients. In each case we “hard-wired” the first few coefficients to agree with the coefficients of the preceding formula. Note below that in the sigma notation, the first few coefficients of each expression are simply the previous step’s terms, where the first argument of σ (corresponding to r) has been increased by two.

These initial terms (with coefficients in bold) are then followed by terms with the other partitions as arguments, with all terms ordered lexicographically by partition (shorter partitions are listed before longer partitions, and, within a partition of a given length, larger entries are listed before smaller entries in the first position where they differ; the integers in brackets are nonincreasing):

$$\zeta(2) = 3 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\binom{2k}{k} k^2} = 3\sigma(2, [0]), \quad (22)$$

$$\zeta(4) = 3 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\binom{2k}{k} k^4} - 9 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} j^{-2}}{\binom{2k}{k} k^2} = 3\sigma(4, [0]) - 9\sigma(2, [2]) \quad (23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(6) &= 3 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\binom{2k}{k} k^6} - 9 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} j^{-2}}{\binom{2k}{k} k^4} - \frac{45}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} j^{-4}}{\binom{2k}{k} k^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{27}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} i^{-2}}{j^2 \binom{2k}{k} k^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

$$= 3\sigma(6, []) - 9\sigma(4, [2]) - \frac{45}{2}\sigma(2, [4]) + \frac{27}{2}\sigma(2, [2, 2]) \quad (25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(8) &= 3\sigma(8, []) - 9\sigma(6, [2]) - \frac{45}{2}\sigma(4, [4]) + \frac{27}{2}\sigma(4, [2, 2]) - 63\sigma(2, [6]) \\ &\quad + \frac{135}{2}\sigma(2, [4, 2]) - \frac{27}{2}\sigma(2, [2, 2, 2]) \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(10) &= 3\sigma(10, []) - 9\sigma(8, [2]) - \frac{45}{2}\sigma(6, [4]) + \frac{27}{2}\sigma(6, [2, 2]) - 63\sigma(4, [6]) \\ &\quad + \frac{135}{2}\sigma(4, [4, 2]) - \frac{27}{2}\sigma(4, [2, 2, 2]) - \frac{765}{4}\sigma(2, [8]) + 189\sigma(2, [6, 2]) \\ &\quad + \frac{675}{8}\sigma(2, [4, 4]) - \frac{405}{4}\sigma(2, [4, 2, 2]) + \frac{81}{8}\sigma(2, [2, 2, 2, 2]). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Next from the above results, one can immediately read that $\alpha([]) = 3$, $\alpha([1]) = -9$, $\alpha([2]) = -45/2$, $\alpha([1, 1]) = 27/2$, and so forth. Table 1 presents the values of α that we obtained in this manner.

Using these values, we then calculated series approximations to the functions $P_k(x)$,

Partition	Alpha	Partition	Alpha	Partition	Alpha
[empty]	3/1	1	-9/1	2	-45/2
1,1	27/2	3	-63/1	2,1	135/2
1,1,1	-27/2	4	-765/4	3,1	189/1
2,2	675/8	2,1,1	-405/4	1,1,1,1	81/8
5	-3069/5	4,1	2295/4	3,2	945/2
3,1,1	-567/2	2,2,1	-2025/8	2,1,1,1	405/4
1,1,1,1,1	-243/40	6	-4095/2	5,1	9207/5
4,2	11475/8	4,1,1	-6885/8	3,3	1323/2
3,2,1	-2835/2	3,1,1,1	567/2	2,2,2	-3375/16
2,2,1,1	6075/16	2,1,1,1,1	-1215/16	1,1,1,1,1,1	243/80
7	-49149/7	6,1	49140/8	5,2	36828/8
5,1,1	-27621/10	4,3	32130/8	4,2,1	-34425/8
4,1,1,1	6885/8	3,3,1	-15876/8	3,2,2	-14175/8
3,2,1,1	17010/8	3,1,1,1,1	-1701/8	2,2,2,1	10125/16
2,2,1,1,1	-6075/16	2,1,1,1,1,1	729/16	1,1,1,1,1,1,1	-729/560
8	-1376235/56	7,1	1179576/56	6,2	859950/56
6,1,1	-515970/56	5,3	902286/70	5,2,1	-773388/56
5,1,1,1	193347/70	4,4	390150/64	4,3,1	-674730/56
4,2,2	-344250/64	4,2,1,1	413100/64	4,1,1,1,1	-41310/64
3,3,2	-277830/56	3,3,1,1	166698/56	3,2,2,1	297675/56
3,2,1,1,1	-119070/56	3,1,1,1,1,1	10206/80	2,2,2,2	50625/128
2,2,2,1,1	-60750/64	2,2,1,1,1,1	18225/64	2,1,1,1,1,1,1	-1458/64
1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1	2187/4480				

Table 1: Alpha coefficients found by PSLQ computations

by using formula (21). We obtained:

$$\begin{aligned}
P_3(x) &\approx 3 - \frac{45}{4}x^2 - \frac{45}{16}x^4 - \frac{45}{64}x^6 - \frac{45}{256}x^8 - \frac{45}{1024}x^{10} - \frac{45}{4096}x^{12} - \frac{45}{16384}x^{14} \\
&\quad - \frac{45}{65536}x^{16} \\
P_4(x) &\approx 3 - \frac{49}{4}x^2 + \frac{119}{144}x^4 + \frac{3311}{5184}x^4 + \frac{38759}{186624}x^6 + \frac{384671}{6718464}x^8 \\
&\quad + \frac{3605399}{241864704}x^{10} + \frac{33022031}{8707129344}x^{12} + \frac{299492039}{313456656384}x^{14} \\
P_5(x) &\approx 3 - \frac{205}{16}x^2 + \frac{7115}{2304}x^4 + \frac{207395}{331776}x^6 + \frac{4160315}{47775744}x^8 + \frac{74142995}{6879707136}x^{10} \\
&\quad + \frac{1254489515}{990677827584}x^{12} + \frac{20685646595}{142657607172096}x^{14} + \frac{336494674715}{20542695432781824}x^{16} \\
P_6(x) &\approx 3 - \frac{5269}{400}x^2 + \frac{6640139}{1440000}x^4 + \frac{1635326891}{5184000000}x^6 - \frac{5944880821}{18662400000000}x^8 \\
&\quad - \frac{212874252291349}{67184640000000000}x^{10} - \frac{141436384956907381}{241864704000000000000}x^{12} \\
&\quad - \frac{70524260274859115989}{870712934400000000000000}x^{14} - \frac{31533457168819214655541}{313456656384000000000000000}x^{16} \\
P_7(x) &\approx 3 - \frac{5369}{400}x^2 + \frac{8210839}{1440000}x^4 - \frac{199644809}{5184000000}x^6 - \frac{680040118121}{18662400000000}x^8 \\
&\quad - \frac{278500311775049}{67184640000000000}x^{10} - \frac{84136715217872681}{241864704000000000000}x^{12} \\
&\quad - \frac{22363377813883431689}{870712934400000000000000}x^{14} - \frac{5560090840263911428841}{313456656384000000000000000}x^{16}.
\end{aligned}$$

With these approximations in hand, we were then in a position to attempt to determine closed-form expressions for $P_k(x)$. This can be done by using either ‘‘Pade’’ function in either MATHEMATICA or MAPLE. We obtained the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
P_1(x) &\stackrel{?}{=} 3 \\
P_2(x) &\stackrel{?}{=} \frac{3(4x^2 - 1)}{(x^2 - 1)} \\
P_3(x) &\stackrel{?}{=} \frac{12(4x^2 - 1)}{(x^2 - 4)} \\
P_4(x) &\stackrel{?}{=} \frac{12(4x^2 - 1)(4x^2 - 9)}{(x^2 - 4)(x^2 - 9)} \\
P_5(x) &\stackrel{?}{=} \frac{48(4x^2 - 1)(4x^2 - 9)}{(x^2 - 9)(x^2 - 16)} \\
P_6(x) &\stackrel{?}{=} \frac{48(4x^2 - 1)(4x^2 - 9)(4x^2 - 25)}{(x^2 - 9)(x^2 - 16)(x^2 - 25)} \\
P_7(x) &\stackrel{?}{=} \frac{192(4x^2 - 1)(4x^2 - 9)(4x^2 - 25)}{(x^2 - 16)(x^2 - 25)(x^2 - 36)}
\end{aligned}$$

These results immediately suggest that the general form of a generating function identity is:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \zeta(2n+2)x^{2n} \stackrel{?}{=} 3 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\binom{2k}{k}(k^2-x^2)} \prod_{m=1}^{k-1} \frac{4x^2-m^2}{x^2-m^2}, \quad (28)$$

which is equivalent to (13).

We next confirmed this result in several ways:

1. We symbolically computed the power series coefficients of the LHS and the RHS of (28), and have verified that they agree up to the term with x^{100} .
2. We verified that $\mathcal{Z}(1/6)$, where $\mathcal{Z}(x)$ is the RHS of (28), agrees with $18 - 3\sqrt{3}\pi$, computed using (14), to over 2,500 digit precision; likewise for $\mathcal{Z}(1/2) = 2$, $\mathcal{Z}(1/3) = 9/2 - 3\pi/(2\sqrt{3})$, $\mathcal{Z}(1/4) = 8 - 2\pi$ and $\mathcal{Z}(1/\sqrt{2}) = 1 - \pi/\sqrt{2} \cdot \cot(\pi/\sqrt{2})$.
3. We then affirmed that the formula (28) gives the same numerical value as (14) for the 100 pseudorandom values $\{m\pi\}$, for $1 \leq m \leq 100$, where $\{\cdot\}$ denotes fractional part.

Thus, we were certain that (13) was correct and it remained only to find a proof of Theorem 1.

3 Proof of Theorem 1

By partial fractions,

$$\frac{1}{1-z^2/k^2} \prod_{m=1}^{k-1} \frac{1-4z^2/m^2}{1-z^2/m^2} = \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{c_n(k)}{1-z^2/n^2},$$

where

$$c_n(k) = \prod_{m=1}^{k-1} (1-4n^2/m^2) \Big/ \prod_{\substack{m=1 \\ m \neq n}}^k (1-n^2/m^2)$$

if $1 \leq n \leq k$, and $c_n(k) = 0$ if $n > k$ or if $k \geq 2n + 1$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2 \binom{2k}{k} (1-z^2/k^2)} \prod_{m=1}^{k-1} \frac{1-4z^2/m^2}{1-z^2/m^2} &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2 \binom{2k}{k}} \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{c_n(k)}{1-z^2/n^2} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-z^2/n^2} \sum_{k=n}^{2n} \frac{c_n(k)}{k^2 \binom{2k}{k}}. \end{aligned}$$

The interchange of summation order is justified by absolute convergence. To prove (13), it obviously suffices to show that

$$3 \sum_{k=n}^{2n} \frac{c_n(k)}{k^2 \binom{2k}{k}} = \frac{1}{n^2} \iff S_n := \sum_{k=n}^{2n} \frac{3}{\binom{2k}{k}} \prod_{m=1}^{k-1} (4n^2 - m^2) \Big/ \prod_{\substack{m=1 \\ m \neq n}}^k (n^2 - m^2) = 1$$

for each positive integer n . But,

$$\begin{aligned} S_n &= \frac{6n^2}{(2n)!} \sum_{k=n}^{2n} \frac{1}{\binom{2k}{k}} \prod_{m=1}^{k-1} (4n^2 - m^2) \Big/ \prod_{m=n+1}^k (n^2 - m^2) \\ &= \frac{(3n)! n!}{(2n)! (2n)!} {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} 3n, n+1, -n \\ 2n+1, n+1/2 \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{1}{4} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have reduced the problem of proving (13) to that of establishing the finite identity

$$T(n) := \frac{(3n)! n!}{(2n)! (2n)!} {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} 3n, n+1, -n \\ 2n+1, n+1/2 \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{1}{4} \right) = 1, \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}^+. \quad (29)$$

But MAPLE readily simplifies $T(n+1)/T(n) = 1$, and since $T(0) = 1$, the identity (29) and hence (15) and (13) are established. If a certificate is desired, we can employ the Wilf-Zeilberger algorithm. In MAPLE 9.5 we set

$$r := \frac{\binom{2n}{n}}{\binom{3n}{n}}, \quad f := \frac{(3n+k-1)!(n+k)!(-n-1+k)!(2n)!(n-1/2)!(1/4)^k}{(3n-1)!n!(-n-1)!(2n+k)!(n-1/2+k)!k!}. \quad (30)$$

MAPLE interprets the latter in terms of the Pochhammer symbol

$$(a)_k := \prod_{j=1}^k (a+j-1)$$

as

$$f = \frac{(3n)_k (n+1)_k (-n)_k}{(2n+1)_k (n+1/2)_k} \cdot \frac{(1/4)^k}{k!},$$

so despite the appearance of (30) the issue of factorials at negative integers does not arise for non-negative integers k and n . Now execute:

```
> with(SumTools[Hypergeometric]):
> WZMethod(f,r,n,k,'certify'): certify;
```

which returns the certificate

$$\frac{\frac{1}{11} n^2 + 1 + 6n + k + 5kn}{3(n-k+1)(2n+k+1)n}$$

This proves that summing $f(n, k)$ over k produces $r(n)$, as asserted.

Indeed, the (suppressed) output of ‘WZMethod’ is the *WZ-pair* (F, G) such that

$$F(n+1, k) - F(n, k) = G(n, k+1) - G(n, k),$$

where $F(n, k) := f(n, k)/r(n)$ for $r(n) \neq 0$ and is $f(n, k)$ otherwise. Sum both sides over $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ and use the fact that by construction $G(n, k) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \pm\infty$. The certificate is

$$R(n, k) := \frac{G(n, k)}{F(n, k)}.$$

QED

4 An Identity for $\zeta(2n+4)$

We compare (13) to a result due to Leshchiner [17] which is stated incorrectly in [1], and which, as the authors say, has a different flavor: for complex x not an integer,

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2 \binom{2k}{k}} \frac{3k^2 + x^2}{k^2 - x^2} \prod_{m=1}^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{m^2}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^2 - x^2} = \frac{\pi}{2x \sin(\pi x)} - \frac{1}{2x^2}. \quad (31)$$

Using the methods of the previous section—but using a basis of sums over simplices not hypercubes—we have likewise now obtained for complex x not an integer,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2 \binom{2k}{k} (k^2 - x^2)} \prod_{m=1}^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{m^2}\right) = \frac{\pi}{4x^3 \sin(\pi x)} - \frac{1}{x^4} + \frac{3 \cos(\pi x/3)}{4x^4}. \quad (32)$$

To see this, let

$$W(x) := \left(\frac{1/2}{1-x^2}\right) {}_5F_4 \left(\begin{matrix} 1, 1+x, 1+x, 1-x, 1-x \\ 2, 3/2, 2+x, 2-x \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{1}{4} \right)$$

denote the left hand side of (32), and let

$$\begin{aligned} V(x) &:= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} 1, 1+x, 1-x \\ 2, 3/2 \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{1}{4} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2 \binom{2k}{k}} \prod_{m=1}^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{m^2}\right) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-x^2)^{n-1} \frac{(2 \arcsin(1/2))^{2n}}{(2n)!} = \frac{1 - \cos(\pi x/3)}{x^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Expanding Leshchiner’s series (31) now gives

$$\frac{3}{2} V(x) + 2x^2 W(x) = \frac{\pi}{2x \sin(\pi x)} - \frac{1}{2x^2}.$$

Solving for $W(x)$ gives (32) as claimed.

To recapitulate, we have

Theorem 2 *Let x be a complex number, not an integer. Then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2 \binom{2k}{k} (k^2 - x^2)} \prod_{m=1}^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{m^2}\right) = \frac{\pi x \csc(\pi x) + 3 \cos(\pi x/3) - 4}{4x^4}. \quad (33)$$

If $0 \leq |x| < 1$, then the Maclaurin series for the left hand side of (33) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \{3^{1-2n} - 2B_{2n} (2^{2n-1} - 1)\} \pi^{2n}}{4 (2n)!} x^{2n-4} \\ = \frac{17}{36} \zeta(4) + \frac{313}{648} \zeta(6) x^2 + \frac{23147}{46656} \zeta(8) x^4 + \frac{1047709}{2099520} \zeta(10) x^6 + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where the rational coefficients B_{2n} refer to the even indexed Bernoulli numbers generated by

$$x \coth(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2x)^{2n}}{(2n)!} B_{2n}.$$

Note that the constant term recaptures (3) as desired—as taking the limit on the right side of (33) confirms. Correspondingly, the constant term in (31) yields (1). The coefficient of x^2 is

$$\frac{313}{648} \zeta(6) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^6 \binom{2k}{k}} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^4 \binom{2k}{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j^2}.$$

This all suggests that there should be a unifying formula for our two identities—(13) and (33)—as there is for the odd cases, see (12).

5 Conclusion

We believe that this general experimental procedure will ultimately yield results for many other classes of arguments, such as for $\zeta(4n + m)$, $m = 0, 1$, but our current experimental results are negative.

1. Considering $\zeta(4n + 1)$, for $n = 2$ the simplest evaluation we know is (7). This is one term shorter than that given by Rivoal [18], which comes from taking the coefficient of $x^2 y^4$ in (12).
2. For $\zeta(2n + 4)$ (and $\zeta(4n)$) starting with (3) which we recall:

$$\zeta(4) = \frac{36 \cdot 1}{17} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^4 \binom{2k}{k}},$$

the identity for $\zeta(6)$ most susceptible to bootstrapping is

$$\zeta(6) = \frac{36 \cdot 8}{163} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^6 \binom{2k}{k}} + \frac{3}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2 \binom{2k}{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j^4} \right]. \quad (34)$$

For $\zeta(8), \zeta(10)$ we have enticingly found:

$$\zeta(8) = \frac{36 \cdot 64}{1373} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^8 \binom{2k}{k}} + \frac{9}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^4 \binom{2k}{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j^4} + \frac{3}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2 \binom{2k}{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j^6} \right] \quad (35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(10) = \frac{36 \cdot 512}{11143} & \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{10} \binom{2k}{k}} + \frac{9}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^6 \binom{2k}{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j^4} + \frac{3}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2 \binom{2k}{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j^8} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{9}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^4 \binom{2k}{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j^6} + \frac{27}{8} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2 \binom{2k}{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j^4} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{1}{i^4} \right]. \quad (36) \end{aligned}$$

But this pattern is not fruitful; the pattern stops at $n = 10$.

References

- [1] Gert Almkvist and Andrew Granville, “Borwein and Bradley’s Apéry-like formulae for $\zeta(4n + 3)$,” *Experiment. Math.*, **8** (1999), 197–203.
- [2] David H. Bailey and David J. Broadhurst, “Parallel integer relation detection: techniques and applications,” *Math. Comp.*, **70**, no. 236 (2000), 1719–1736.
- [3] Jonathan M. Borwein and David H. Bailey, *Mathematics by Experiment: Plausible Reasoning in the 21st Century*, A. K. Peters, Natick, MA, 2004.
- [4] Jonathan M. Borwein, David H. Bailey and Roland Girgensohn, *Experimentation in Mathematics: Computational Paths to Discovery*, A. K. Peters, Natick, MA, 2004.
- [5] Jonathan M. Borwein and Peter B. Borwein, *Pi and the AGM: A Study in Analytic Number Theory and Computational Complexity*, John Wiley, New York, 1987, paperback 1998.
- [6] Jonathan M. Borwein, David M. Bradley, David J. Broadhurst and Petr Lisoněk, “Special values of multiple polylogarithms,” *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **353** (2001), no. 3, 907–941. <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.CA/9910045>
- [7] Jonathan M. Borwein and David M. Bradley, “Empirically determined Apéry-like formulae for $\zeta(4n + 3)$,” *Experiment. Math.*, **6** (1997), no. 3, 181–194. <http://arxiv.org/abs/math.CA/0505124>
- [8] Jonathan M. Borwein and David M. Bradley, “Searching symbolically for Apéry-like formulae for values of the Riemann zeta function,” *SIGSAM Bulletin of Algebraic and Symbolic Manipulation*, Association of Computing Machinery, **30** (1996), no. 2, 2–7. <http://arxiv.org/abs/math.CA/0505093>

- [9] Jonathan M. Borwein, David J. Broadhurst and Joel Kamnitzer, “Central binomial sums, multiple Clausen values, and zeta Values,” *Experiment. Math.*, **10** (2001), 25–41.
- [10] David M. Bradley, “More Apéry-like formulae: On representing values of the Riemann zeta function by infinite series damped by central binomial coefficients,” August 1, 2002. <http://www.umemat.maine.edu/faculty/bradley/papers/bivar5.pdf>
- [11] Edward B. Burger and Robert Tubbs, *Making Transcendence Transparent*, Springer-Verlag, 2004.
- [12] Louis Comtet, *Advanced Combinatorics: The Art of Finite and Infinite Expansion*, D. Reidel Publishing, Dordrecht, Holland, 1974.
- [13] Helaman R. P. Ferguson, David H. Bailey and Stephen Arno, “Analysis of PSLQ, an integer relation finding algorithm,” *Math. Comp.*, **68** (1999), no. 225, 351–369.
- [14] Einar Hille, *Analytic Function Theory*, Vol. 1, Chelsea, New York, 1976.
- [15] Max Koecher, Letter (German), *Math. Intelligencer*, **2** (1979/1980), no. 2, 62–64. MR 0577550 (**82b**:10049)
- [16] A. K. Lenstra, H. W. Lenstra Jr. and L. Lovasz, “Factoring polynomials with rational coefficients,” *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 261 (1982), 515–534.
- [17] D. Leshchiner, “Some new identities for $\zeta(k)$,” *J. Number Theory*, **13** (1981), 355–362.
- [18] T. Rivoal, “Simultaneous generation of Koecher and Almkvist-Granville’s Apéry-like formulae,” *Experiment. Math.*, **13** (2004), 503–508. MR2118275 (**2005i**:11113)
- [19] Alfred van der Poorten, “A proof that Euler Missed . . . Apéry’s proof of the irrationality of $\zeta(3)$.” An informal report. *Math. Intelligencer* **1** (1978/79), no. 4, 195–203. MR 0547748 (**80i**:10054)
- [20] V. V. Zudilin, “One of the numbers $\zeta(5)$, $\zeta(7)$, $\zeta(9)$, $\zeta(11)$ is irrational,” (Russian) *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **56** (2001), no. 4 (340), 149–150; translation in *Russian Math. Surveys* **56** (2001), no. 4, 774–776. MR 1861452 (**2002g**:11098)